



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,010	09/26/2001	Matthew B. Haycock	884.455US1	1876
21186	7590	02/23/2005	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			CHEN, TSE W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2116	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/964,010	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAYCOCK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Tse Chen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10-22 and 31-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-22 and 31-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 2116

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Amendment dated December 3, 2004.
2. Claims 10-22 and 31-35 are presented for examination. Applicant has canceled claims 1-9 and 23-30.

#### ***Claim Objections***

3. Claims 31 and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - As per claim 31, “aligning a plurality output bits provided at one of the output nodes with a plurality output bits” should be “aligning a plurality *of* output bits provided at one of the output nodes with a plurality *of* output bits”.
  - As per claim 35, “performing the logic circuit” should be “performing the logic function”.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wood et al., US Patent 4246656, hereinafter Wood
6. In re claim 31, Wood discloses a method comprising [fig.1; col.4, 1.59 – col.5, 1.53]:

Art Unit: 2116

- Receiving a plurality of input bits at a plurality of input nodes [42, 44] of a plurality of register circuits [shift register 50/mux 54 and shift register 52/mux 56 constitutes register circuits].
  - Providing a plurality of output bits at a plurality of output nodes [72, 74] of the register circuits.
  - Performing a logic function [compare] on a plurality of bits held by the register circuits to produce a rotation number [three bit errors require advancing or retreating in rotation number of three bits in order to find correlation].
  - Aligning a plurality of output bits provided at one of the output nodes with a plurality of output bits provided at other output nodes when the plurality of input bits received at the input nodes are misaligned by at least one bit time interval [correlating and selecting appropriate mux line aligns the output bits].
7. As to claim 33, Wood discloses, wherein each of the register circuits further including a select circuit [54, 56] connected to a subset of the number of register cells through a number of select lines [62, 64].

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2116

9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taya et al., US Patent 5778214, hereinafter Taya, in view of Yamamoto et al., Japanese Publication 06-120937, hereinafter Yamamoto.

10. In re claim 10, Taya discloses an integrated circuit [pattern detection circuit 2] comprising:

- A plurality of input nodes [fig.2; inputs to the registers].
- A plurality of output nodes [fig.2; outputs from sync pattern check circuits].
- A plurality of register circuits [shift registers], each of the register circuits being connected between one of the input nodes and one of the output nodes to receive a plurality of input bits at one of the input nodes and to provide at one of the output nodes a plurality of output bits based on the plurality of input bits [fig.2; shift registers connected between input nodes and output nodes in the broadest interpretation – e.g., node being a point in the data path before or after the shift register].

11. Taya did not discuss the details of a logic circuit or controller.

12. Yamamoto discloses an integrated circuit [abstract, accompanying figure] comprising:

- A logic circuit [comparison means 3] connected to the register circuits [shift registers and storage means] to perform a logic function [compare] on a plurality of input bits [signal] held by one of the register circuits [shift register 2] among the plurality of the register circuits with the plurality of input bits held by the other register circuits [storage means 4] among the plurality of register circuits [paragraph 0011].
- A controller [control means 5] to configure the register circuits based on a result from the logic function [compare] of the logic circuit to align the plurality of output bits provided

Art Unit: 2116

by one output node [shift register 2 bits to comparison means 3] with a plurality of output bits provided by other output nodes [storage means 4 to comparison means 3] when the plurality of input bits received at one of the input nodes [shift register 2] are misaligned with the plurality of input bits received at the other input nodes [storage means 4] by one or more bit time intervals [paragraphs 0012-0013; when bits in 2 and 4 do not align, circuit configures by continually shifting and comparing bits until alignment].

13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Taya and Yamamoto before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Taya to include the logic circuit and controller taught by Yamamoto, in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit with simplicity and reduction in current consumption [Yamamoto: abstract]. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to synchronize with simplicity and reduction in current consumption.

14. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taya and Yamamoto as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Fukuoka, US Patent 6467063.

15. Taya and Yamamoto disclose each an every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 10. Taya and Yamamoto did not discuss the details regarding the number of register cells as related to the maximum number of bit timer intervals of misalignment.

16. Fukuoka discloses a circuit [Reed Solomon coding apparatus] comprising:

- Register circuits [90-97] that include a number of register cells, wherein the number of register cells equals  $2M-1$  [ $2s-1$ ], where  $M$  [ $s$ ] is a maximum number of bit time intervals of misalignment [maximum number of errors] [col.14, ll.48-60].

Art Unit: 2116

17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Fukuoka, Taya and Yamamoto before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Taya and Yamamoto to include the register circuits taught by Fukuoka, in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit wherein each of the register circuits includes a number of register cells, wherein the number of register cells equals  $2M-1$ , where  $M$  is a maximum number of bits time intervals of misalignment of a parallel bus that connects to the integrated circuit. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to correct multiple errors in a processing system [Fukuoka: col.1, ll.6-15].

18. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuoka, Taya and Yamamoto as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Moriwaki et al., US Patent 6753872, hereinafter Moriwaki.

19. In re claim 12, Fukuoka, Taya and Yamamoto disclose each an every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 11. Fukuoka, Taya and Yamamoto did not discuss the details of a select circuit.

20. Moriwaki discloses a system [rendering processing system] comprising register circuits that include:

- A select circuit [selector 51] connected to a subset of the number of register cells [set of  $50-x$  registers] through a number of select lines [24b] [fig.5; col.9, ll.7-54].

21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Moriwaki, Fukuoka, Taya and Yamamoto before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Fukuoka, Taya and Yamamoto to include the select circuit taught by Moriwaki, in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit wherein each of the register

Art Unit: 2116

circuits further includes a select circuit connected to a subset of the number of register cells through a number of select lines. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to select and process particular sets of data bits [Moriwaki: col.9, ll.24-42].

22. As to claim 13, Moriwaki, Fukuoka, Taya and Yamamoto discloses each an every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Moriwaki, Fukuoka, Taya and Yamamoto before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Fukuoka, Taya and Yamamoto to include the select lines taught by Moriwaki, in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit wherein the number of select lines equals a maximum number bit time intervals of misalignment of a parallel bus that connects to the integrated circuit. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to select and process particular sets of data bits [Moriwaki: col.9, ll.24-42; associate select lines with M since there's no need to further process bits greater than the maximum error].

23. Claims 14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood, in view of Yamamoto and Fukuoka.

24. In re claim 14, Wood discloses an integrated circuit [diversity switch correlation circuit] comprising [fig.1; col.4, l.59 – col.5, l.53]:

- A plurality of input nodes to receive a plurality of input bits [42, 44].
- A plurality of output nodes to provide a plurality of output bits [72, 74].
- A plurality of register circuits [50/54 and 52/56], each of the register circuits being connected between one of the input nodes and one of the output nodes [e.g., 50/54



Art Unit: 2116

between 42 and 72], each of the register circuits including a number of register cells [8 bits], each of the register circuits further including a select circuit [54, 56] connected to a subset of the number of register cells through a number of select lines [62, 64].

- A logic circuit [66, 58, 60 with associated circuitries] connected to the register circuits to perform a logic function [compare] on a plurality of bits held by the register circuits, wherein the logic circuit includes:
  - A calculation unit to perform the logic function on a plurality of bits [66 compares the bits from the different data streams to detect correlation].
  - A plurality of memory units to store results from the logic function [58, 60 stores the uncorrelated results from 66].
  - A counter to count values stored in the memory units [58, 60 counts uncorrelated results from 66].
  - A detect logic to determine results from the counter and to generate a rotation number [58, 56 determines the rotation number to advance or retreat by bits in order to align].
- A controller to configure the register circuits based on a result from the logic circuit to align the plurality of output bits provided by one output node with a plurality of output bits provided by other output nodes when the plurality of input bits received at the input nodes are misaligned by one or more bit time intervals [58 configures 54 to select appropriate line for aligning the data streams].

Art Unit: 2116

25. Wood did not discuss the details involved with rotating the data held in the number of register cells or the details regarding the number of register cells as related to the maximum number of bit timer intervals of misalignment.

26. Yamamoto discloses an integrated circuit [abstract, accompanying figure] comprising:

- A detect logic [5] to generate a rotation number [shift 1 bit], the rotation number being used to rotate data held in the number of register cells [paragraphs 0012-0013; when bits in 2 and 4 do not align, circuit configures by continually shifting and comparing bits until alignment].

27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Woods and Yamamoto before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Woods to include the logic circuit and controller taught by Yamamoto, in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit comprising a detect logic to determine results from the counter and to generate a rotation number, the rotation number being used to rotate data held in the number of register cells. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a very well known way to access particular bits of data [rotating] while synchronizing data streams with simplicity and reduction in current consumption [Yamamoto: abstract].

28. Fukuoka discloses a circuit [Reed Solomon coding apparatus] comprising:

- Register circuits [90-97] that include a number of register cells, wherein the number of register cells equals  $2M-1$  [ $2s-1$ ], where  $M$  [ $s$ ] is a maximum number of bit time intervals of misalignment [maximum number of errors] [col.14, ll.48-60].

Art Unit: 2116

29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Fukuoka and Wood before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Wood to include the register circuits taught by Fukuoka, in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit wherein each of the register circuits includes a number of register cells, wherein the number of register cells equals  $2M-1$ , where  $M$  is a maximum number of bits time intervals of misalignment of a parallel bus that connects to the integrated circuit. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to correct multiple errors in a processing system [Fukuoka: col.1, ll.6-15].

30. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to further modify the system taught by Fukuoka and Wood in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit wherein the number of select lines equals a maximum number bit time intervals of misalignment of a parallel bus that connects to the integrated circuit. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to select and process particular sets of data bits [Wood: col.3, ll.40-55; associate select lines with  $M$  since there's no need to further process bits greater than the maximum error].

31. As to claim 16, Examiner hereby takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art to arrange memory units in rows and columns, wherein the memory units in the same row form a shift register.

32. As to claim 17, Wood discloses, wherein the counter [58, 60] includes a plurality of counter memory units [2 units], each of the counter memory units being connected to one shift register [58/50 and 60/52].

Art Unit: 2116

33. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taya and Yamamoto as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Jaquette, US Patent 5737371.

34. Taya and Yamamoto disclose each an every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 10. Taya and Yamamoto did not discuss the details of the logic circuit.

35. Jaquette discloses a logic circuit that performs an OR function [col.5, l.54 – col.7, l.15].

36. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Jaquette, Taya and Yamamoto before him at the time the invention was made, to use the logic circuit with the OR function taught by Jaquette for the integrated circuit disclosed by Taya and Yamamoto as the logic circuit with the OR function taught by Jaquette is a very well known function suitable for use with the integrated circuit of Taya and Yamamoto. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to validate certain conditions with a well-known logic function [Jaquette: col.5, l.54 – col.6, l.9].

37. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwaki in view of Grondalski, US Patent 6108763 and Wood.

38. In re claim 18, Moriwaki discloses a system [rendering processing system] comprising:

- A parallel bus [internal data bus 15] including a plurality of bus lines to carry a plurality of bits on each of the bus lines [fig.5; col.9, ll.7-23].
- A first integrated circuit [data transfer circuit 12] including a plurality of register circuits [50-1 to 50-64], each of the register circuits being connected to one of the bus lines [col.9, ll.7-23].
- Each of the register circuits including:

Art Unit: 2116

- A register [set of three 24-bits 50-x registers combined for 64 bits] connected to an input node [inherently, an input node in the broadest interpretation is at the other end of the bus], the register including a plurality of register cells [set of 50-x registers] [col.9, ll.7-41].
- A select circuit [selector 51] connected to a subset of the number of register cells through a number of select lines [24b], the select circuit including an output node [switch circuit 52] [fig.5; col.9, ll.24-54].
- A controller [memory control circuit 4] connected to the select circuit and the register cells to configure the register cells to select the select lines to be a part of a conductive path connected between the input node and the select circuit output node [col.9, l.24 – col.10, l.17; selector selects the 64 bits to be connected].

39. Moriwaki did not disclose explicitly that the registers are to be shift registers, that only one of the select lines is to be selected, or that each of the register circuits are to have their individual select circuits.

40. Grondalski discloses a system [fig.4] comprising:

- A shift register [52] including a plurality of register cells [col.19, ll.40-54].
- A controller [issues the sel cell signal] connected to the register cells to configure the register cells to select only one of the select lines [col.21, ll.12-56; sel cell signal corresponding to sel8 with associated transistors for particular register cell].

41. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Moriwaki and Grondalski before him at the time the invention was made, to use the shift register taught by Grondalski for the system disclosed by Moriwaki as the shift register taught by

Art Unit: 2116

Grondalski is a well known device suitable for use as the register of Moriwaki. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a well-known way to manipulate data in a processing system [Grondalski: col.19, l.40 – col.20, l.22].

42. Wood discloses a system comprising [fig.1; col.4, l.59 – col.5, l.53]:

- A first integrated circuit [diversity switch correlation circuit] including a plurality register circuits [50/54 and 52/56], each of the register circuits including:
  - A select circuit [e.g., 54] connected to a subset of the number of register cells through a number of select lines [e.g., 62], the select circuit including an output node [e.g., 72].

43. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Moriwaki and Wood before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Moriwaki to include the teachings of Wood in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit wherein each of the register circuits include an individual select circuit. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to select and process particular sets of data bits [Wood: col.3, ll.40-55].

44. As to claim 19, Moriwaki discloses the system comprising a second integrated circuit [rendering operation circuit 2] connected to the parallel bus [fig.5].

45. As to claim 20, Moriwaki discloses the system wherein the parallel bus is formed on a circuit board, and the first and second integrated circuits are located in the circuit board [col.6, l.64 – col.7, l.22; on board wiring of bus].

Art Unit: 2116

46. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood, Moriwaki and Grondalski as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Barnsley et al., US Patent 5430812, hereinafter Barnsley.

47. Wood, Moriwaki and Grondalski disclose each an every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 19. Wood, Moriwaki and Grondalski did not discuss separate circuit board configuration.

48. Barnsley discloses a system [fig.5; digital image data compression apparatus] comprising:

- A first circuit board [pc 112], wherein the parallel bus [AT bus 118] is formed on the first circuit board and a first integrated circuit [80386] is located on the first circuit board [col.4, ll.53-68].
- A second circuit board [110], wherein a second integrated circuit [fractal transform chips] is located on the second circuit board, the second circuit board being inserted into a bus slot that connects to the parallel bus [col.4, ll.53-68].

49. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Wood, Barnsley, Moriwaki and Grondalski before him at the time the invention was made, to use the circuit board configuration taught by Barnsley for the system disclosed by Wood, Moriwaki and Grondalski as the circuit board configuration taught by Barnsley is a well known configuration suitable for use with the system of Wood, Moriwaki and Grondalski. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a well-known way to interconnect various parts in a processing system [Barnsley: col.4, ll.53-68].

Art Unit: 2116

50. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood, Moriwaki and Grondalski as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Frisch et al., US Patent 4707834, hereinafter Frisch.

51. Wood, Moriwaki and Grondalski disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 19. Wood, Moriwaki and Grondalski did not discuss separate circuit board configuration.

52. Frisch discloses a system [instrument system] comprising a first and second integrated circuit [instruments 12] that are located on separate circuit boards, and the parallel bus [26] is not formed on the first or second circuit boards [col.4, ll.28-58].

53. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Wood, Frisch, Moriwaki and Grondalski before him at the time the invention was made, to use the circuit board configuration taught by Frisch for the system disclosed by Wood, Moriwaki and Grondalski as the circuit board configuration taught by Frisch is a well known configuration suitable for use with the system of Wood, Moriwaki and Grondalski. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a well-known way to interconnect various parts in a processing system [Frisch: col.4, ll.28-58].

54. Claims 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood as applied to claim 31 above, and further in view of Fukuoka.

55. In re claim 32, Wood discloses each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 31. Wood did not discuss the details regarding the number of register cells as related to the maximum number of bit timer intervals of misalignment.

56. Fukuoka discloses a circuit [Reed Solomon coding apparatus] comprising:



Art Unit: 2116

- Register circuits [90-97] that include a number of register cells, wherein the number of register cells equals  $2M-1$  [2s-1], where  $M$  [s] is a maximum number of bit time intervals of misalignment [maximum number of errors] [col.14, ll.48-60].

57. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Fukuoka and Wood before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Wood to include the register circuits taught by Fukuoka, in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit wherein each of the register circuits includes a number of register cells, wherein the number of register cells equals  $2M-1$ , where  $M$  is a maximum number of bits time intervals of misalignment of a parallel bus that connects to the integrated circuit. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to correct multiple errors in a processing system [Fukuoka: col.1, ll.6-15].

58. As to claim 34, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to further modify the system taught by Fukuoka and Wood in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit wherein the number of select lines equals a maximum number bit time intervals of misalignment of a parallel bus that connects to the integrated circuit. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to select and process particular sets of data bits [Wood: col.3, ll.40-55; associate select lines with  $M$  since there's no need to further process bits greater than the maximum error].

59. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood as applied to claim 31 above, and further in view of Yamamoto.

Art Unit: 2116

60. Wood discloses each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 31. In particular, Wood discloses, wherein the performing the logic function includes [fig.1; col.4, 1.59 – col.5, 1.53]:

- Comparing a plurality of bits among the input nodes to produce a comparison result [66 compares the bits from the different data streams to detect correlation].
- Storing the comparison result in memory units [58, 60 stores the uncorrelated results from 66].
- Counting values stored in the memory units [58, 60 counts uncorrelated results from 66].
- Determining results from the counting to generate the rotation number [58, 56 determines the rotation number to advance or retreat by bits in order to align].

61. Wood did not discuss the details involved with rotating the data held in the number of register cells.

62. Yamamoto discloses an integrated circuit [abstract, accompanying figure] comprising:

- A detect logic [5] to generate a rotation number [shift 1 bit], the rotation number being used to rotate data held in the number of register cells [paragraphs 0012-0013; when bits in 2 and 4 do not align, circuit configures by continually shifting and comparing bits until alignment].

63. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Woods and Yamamoto before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Woods to include the logic circuit and controller taught by Yamamoto, in order to obtain the claimed integrated circuit comprising a detect logic to determine results from the counter and to generate a rotation number, the rotation number being used to rotate data held in

Art Unit: 2116

the number of register cells. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a very well known way to access particular bits of data [rotating] while synchronizing data streams with simplicity and reduction in current consumption [Yamamoto: abstract].

***Allowable Subject Matter***

64. The indicated allowability of dependent claims 14 and 16-17, if rewritten in independent form, is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Wood. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) are as indicated above.

***Response to Arguments***

65. All rejections of claim limitations as filed prior to Amendment dated December 3, 2004 not argued in entirety or substantively in response filed as said Amendment have been conceded by Applicant and the rejections are maintained from henceforth.

66. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as necessitated by amendment. Examiner notes that Applicant asserted that "if Taya and Yamamoto are combined... there is no reasonable expectation of success to achieve the things recited in claim 10 because Taya uses a detection circuit and a counter for varying a delay of a variable delay circuit to adjust a phase difference between a data signal and a clock signal, whereas Yamamoto uses compare means and control means for shifting bits from one shift register to another shift register based on a comparison result between one of the shift registers with a storage mean". Woefully missing is any explanation of why or what factors would contribute to "no reasonable expectation of success". Applicant is reminded that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary

Art Unit: 2116

reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

67. Applicant's arguments, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 18, in regards to "each' of the register circuits includes a ... select circuit" have been fully considered and are persuasive. Examiner considers Applicant's arguments to be persuasive based on Applicant's admission that Applicant's claimed register circuit includes an *individual* select circuit that cannot be combined with other select circuits of the plurality of register circuits. In effect, Applicant's admission dictates that the scope of the claimed select circuits does not cover any select circuits akin to Moriwaki's [previous Office Action cited Moriwaki with a select circuit that inherently comprises of divisible circuitries that service different register circuits].

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Wood as indicated above.

68. Applicant's arguments, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 18, in regards to the allegation that "the function of data transfer circuit of Moriwaki would be destroyed if selector of Moriwaki is connected in a way that the select circuit of claim 18 is connect as recited in claim 18", have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's allegation is a mere conclusion because Applicant failed to show where Moriwaki explicitly teaches against selecting only one subset of 24 bits because of "destructive" effects [i.e., improper data transfer].

Examiner kindly points out that there are many systems well known in the art that consists of varying bus-width interconnected components. These systems operate with one common bus

Art Unit: 2116

width that selectively activates subsets of valid bits according to the configuration of the interconnected components to perform proper data transfer.

69. All other claims were not argued separately.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tse Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tse Chen  
February 15, 2005

  
**LYNNE H. BROWNE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**